United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/568,233	02/14/2006	Gene Lee Cheyenne Lewis	MESS 3436	9598
7812	590 12/17/2007		EXAMINER	
SMITH-HILL AND BEDELL, P.C. 16100 NW CORNELL ROAD, SUITE 220 BEAVERTON, OR 97006			DANG, HUNG XUAN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2873	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/17/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Astion Occurrence	10/568,233	LEWIS, GENE LEE CHEYENNE				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Hung X. Dang	2873				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we railure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will; by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1,4-6 and 15-23 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 1,4-6 and 15-23 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	- ' '	• •				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	·					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
 a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	• •					
application from the International Bureau		3				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
		•				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Λ Π Ι- 1 -1 Ο	/DTO 410)				
2) Description Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Light Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da	·				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/568,233

Art Unit: 2873

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The prior art documents submitted by applicant in the Information disclosure Statements filed on 4/27/07 has been considered and made of record (noted attached copy of form PTO-1449).

Claims Rejection Under 35 USC - 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 4-6 and 15-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Swab et al** (6,769,767) in view of **Kallman et al** (5,455,637).

Swab et al discloses eyewear with exchangeable temples housing a transceiver forming AD HOC networks with other devices comprises a computer, a bracelet and a telephone having a transceivers mounted on them (see figures 1, 3-8, abstract and the related disclosure).

Swab et al does not disclose a case for charging the battery and a rechargeable battery.

Kallman et al, however, discloses a case for charging the battery and a rechargeable battery (see figures 1, 2, 5 and the related disclosure.).

Art Unit: 2873

Because Swab et al and Kallman et al are both from the same field of endeavor, the purpose for convenient recharging of the battery as disclosed by Kallman et al would have been recognized as an art pertinent art of Swab et al.

It would have been obvious, therefore, at the time the invention was made to a person having skill in the art to construct the eyewear system, such as the one disclosed by Swab et al, with a case for charging the battery and a rechargeable battery, such as disclosed by Kallman et al for the purpose for convenient recharging of the battery.

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner Dang at telephone number (571) 272-2326.

12/07

HUNG DANG

PRIMARY EXAMINER

TC 2800